Procurement Report for City of Utica Industrial Development Agency

Fiscal Year Ending: 12/31/2012 Status: CERTIFIED

## Procurement-Information:

Question		Response	URL (if applicable)
1.	Does the Authority have procurement guidelines?	Yes	www.cityofutica.com
2.	Are the procurement guidelines reviewed annually, amended if	Yes	
	needed, and approved by the Board?		
3.	Does the Authority allow for exceptions to the procurement	No	
	guidelines?		
4.	Does the Authority assign credit cards to employees for	No	
	travel and/or business purchases?		
5.	Does the Authority require prospective bidders to sign a	No	
	non-collusion agreement?		
6.	Does the Authority incorporate a summary of its procurement	No	
	policies and prohibitions in its solicitation of proposals,		
	bid documents or specifications for procurement contracts?.		
7.	Did the Authority designate a person or persons to serve as	Yes	
	the authorized contact on a specific procurement, in		
	accordance with Section 139-j(2)(a) of the State Finance		
	Law, "The Procurement Lobbying Act"?		
8.	Did the Authority determine that a vendor had impermissible	No	
	contact during a procurement or attempted to influence the		
	procurement during the reporting period, in accordance with		
	Section 139-j(10) of the State Finance Law?		
8a	If Yes, was a record made of this impermissible contact?		
9.	Does the Authority have a process to review and investigate	No	
	allegations of impermissible contact during a procurement,		
	and to impose sanctions in instances where violations have		
	occurred, in accordance with Section 139-j(9) of the State		
	Finance Law?		

Run Date: 10/11/2013

Procurement Report for City of Utica Industrial Development Agency

Fiscal Year Ending: 12/31/2012 Status: CERTIFIED

Procurement Transactions Listing:

1. Vendor Name: Bond, Scoeneck and King, PLLC 2. Vendor Name: Gruver, Zweifel and Scott, LLP

Legal Services Type of Procurement:

Award Process: Authority Contract - Non-Competitive Bid

02/13/2009 Award Date:

End Date:

Amount:

Amount Expended for Fiscal

Year:

Fair market value:

Explain why the fair market value is less than the amount:

Address Line1:

Address Line2:

City: State: NY Postal Code:

Plus 4:

Province Region:

Country:

Procurement Description:

\$57,962.23

\$57,962.23

501 Main Street

UTICA

13501

USA

general counsel to UIDA

Financial Services Type of Procurement:

Award Process: Authority Contract - Non-Competitive Bid

7936 Seneca Turnpike

USA

12/05/2011 Award Date: 12/31/2011 End Date: Amount: \$5,200 \$5,200 Amount Expended for Fiscal

Year:

\$5,200 Fair market value:

Explain why the fair market value is less than the amount:

Address Linel:

Address Line2:

City: CLINTON State: NY Postal Code: 13323

Plus 4:

Province Region:

Country:

Procurement Description:

Preparation of Audit and Annual Report

Run Date: 10/11/2013

Procurement Report for City of Utica Industrial Development Agency

Fiscal Year Ending: 12/31/2012 Status: CERTIFIED

Procurement Transactions Listing:

Additional Comments:

Run Date: 10/11/2013